

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/813,298 | 10/813,298 03/31/2004 | | Shogo Matsubara | 2004_0513A | 4527 | |
| 513 | 7590 | 11/30/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | • | D & PONACK, L | DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M | | | |
| 2033 K STR SUITE 800 | EEI N. W. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| WASHING | TON, DC | 20006-1021 | 2834 | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/813,298 | MATSUBARA ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Thomas M. Dougherty | 2834 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| Period fo | • • | , 10 057 70 EVDIDE , 140NT. | 0) 0D THIRTY (00) DAYO | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r | CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ma | <u>arch 2004</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)□ | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11)[| The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| - 5 | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of | or the certified copies not receive | u. | | | | | |
| Attaches ==== | ve) | | | | | | | |
| Attachment 1) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ite | | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13, 16-29, 31-43 and 46, drawn to a film bulk acoustic resonator with three electrodes and two wirings, classified in class 310, subclass 320.
- II. Claims 14, 30 and 44, drawn to a film bulk acoustic resonator with four electrodes and two wirings, classified in class 310, subclass 320.
- III. Claims 15, 31 and 45, drawn to a film bulk acoustic resonator on a substrate, classified in class 310, subclass 348.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of the three groups are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the inventions have different structures and thus have different effects and modes of operation.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject .

matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2834

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Direct inquiry to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

time

November 28, 2005

Shower M. Quyker & TOM DOUGHEATY
PRIMARY EXAMINER